

A Brief Survey of the Gas Mixtures Used in Straw Tubes

Lu Changguo and K.T. McDonald

Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

ABSTRACT

We have reviewed the gas mixtures which have been used in straw tubes. Based upon the present knowledge, the three main candidates for the gas to be used in straw-tube detectors are DME (dimethylether), Ar/CO₂ and CF₄. The advantages and disadvantages for these candidates are compared.

DIMETHYLETHER (DME)

1. Spatial Resolution

- The prototype test of MARK-3 vertex detector showed:¹
DME @1 atm yielded spatial resolution 35 μm .
Ar/C₂H₆ @4 atm yielded spatial resolution 30 μm .
- F. Villa² measured the spatial resolution of DME:
Drift velocity = 0.36 $\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$, $\sigma_\tau = 5.4 \text{ ns} \Rightarrow \sigma_s = 16\mu\text{m}$ @1 atm .
- M. Basile *et al.*³, see Fig. 1-2, for comparison is also shown the spatial resolution of Ar/CO₂ in Fig. 3.

2. General properties of DME [(CH₃)₂O]

Molecular weight	46.07 g
Density (25° C)	1.918 g/l
Relative density (air=1)	1.621
Critical temperture	400°K
Vapor pressure at 20°C	52 atm
Flammability limit in air	3.4-18% (in volume)
Radiation length (25°C, 1 atm)	$4.5 \times 10^{-3} X_0/\text{m}$

3. Drift velocity

See Fig. 4.

4. Chemical aggresivity tests

- Adversely affects Mylar and Derlin (MARK-3).¹
- G. Bari *et al.*⁴ have put several specimens in DME gas for a month, then weighing them and looking at the state of the surface. They

Fig. 2

Fig. 1

Fig. 3

Fig. 4. Drift velocity of DME

found the weight of all specimens had gone up at the end of the test. Signs of damage were found on the surface of Plexiglas, Stesalit and scintillator. Epoxy, Mylar, Teflon, G-10, PVC and carbon fibre seemed in good condition.

- M. Gibaly *et al.*⁵ claim:

Bad news —

Teflon — to induce electron capture by poisoning the gas.

Glass-bonded Mica endcaps — to produce flakes on the wire surface and caused quick damage.

Macor — to produce wire damage.

Good news —

The materials found compatible with DME were:

Stainless steel, Monel (gas tubing), Nylon 11 (gas tubing), brass, Derlin (chamber endcaps), Mylar with SnO₂ coating, Torr-seal epoxy and Kalrez O-ring.

5. Ageing effect

- G. Bari *et al.*⁴ have done the ageing test using Kalrez (DuPont) O-rings(guarenteed resistant to ethers), using Cu tubing, H.V. wire insulated with Teflon and the best possible conditions of cleanliness. After collecting 1 C/cm, the chamber has had neither a change in the current drawn by the wire, nor in its gain. But deposits were found on the entire length of the wire(diameter has been increased from 20 μ m to 40 μ m).(?)
- M. Jibaly *et al.*⁵ used Gold-plated wires with “dirty” DME, the operation of the chamber was stable up to 0.39 C/cm. “Pure” DME was better than “dirty” DME (see Table I to get the meaning of “dirty”

and “pure”). Resistive wires exhibited quick damage and the wire current dropped down very fast. Ageing in DME chamber seems to be caused by Freon impurities. Nylon tubing looks safe, but Teflon is bad. Results are summarized in Table II.

Freon-11 (CCl_3F) in DME mostly contributed to wire damage. Using a Nanochem gas purifier drastically reduced the Freon content in DME.

- S. Majewski⁶ claims that the ageing of DME is excellent, holds one of the world records of endurance.

6. Gas purity

The purity of the gas used by G. Bari *et al.*⁴:

Manufacturer	Purity(%)	Contaminant
Fluka	99.2	0.8% Alkane, 0.001% methyl alcohol 0.016% H_2O , 0.002% alcohol, 0.003% Oil
Schweissen Technik	99.8	0.2% Alkane, 0.0001% S
Matheson	99.5	0.1% CO_2 , 0.1% methyl formate, 0.3% alcohol

7. Stability in gas discharge

B. Zhou *et al.*⁷ claim DME has remarkable quenching properties. There is no regeneration (in opposition, $\text{Ar}/\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ (50:50) shows a significant amount of “after pulsing”).

M. Jibaly *et al.*⁸, DME is a very heavy quencher with the absorption edge at a longer wavelength than isobutane and methylal. See Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. DME transmission measurement

Ar/CO₂ MIXTURE

Since MAC vertex detector⁹ had got good results with Ar/CO₂, it looks rather encouraging people to use this mixture for their straw tubes.

1. Drift velocity and high gas pressure

The drift velocity of different mixing proportion of Ar/CO₂ is shown in Fig. 6.

MAC vertex detector is working at 4 atm. When the gas pressure goes higher, in order to maintain the gas gain unchanging, as indicated by, for example, Diethorn formula¹⁰:

$$\ln M = \frac{V \ln 2}{\ln(b/a)\Delta V} \ln\left[\frac{V}{KPa \ln(b/a)}\right],$$

you have to increase the E-field as well. The trouble is electrostatic stability. The higher electric field may cause wire instability and spark. Also the gas leakage will take engineer painstaking effort to deal with.

Fig. 6

2. Diffusion

Like DME, CO₂ is one of the so-called “cool” gas. The diffusion coefficients for several gases are shown in Fig. 7.

Fig.7. Diffusion coefficient and thermal limit

The diffusion coefficient follows the thermal limit very well up to $E \approx 900$ V/cm. In our straw tubes the field E will be much higher than 900 V/cm, the diffusion property will be certainly worse than the thermal limit. The compilation of the diffusion properties for DME, CO₂ and Ar/CO₂ is shown in Fig. 8.¹¹

MAC vertex detector used Ar/CO₂ / CH₄(49.5/49.5/1) mixture at 4 atm, the spatial resolution was 45 μ m.

Fig. 9 shows the spatial resolutions of CO₂ and DME under different gas pressures.¹¹

3. Aging

MAC prototype test showed that the lifetime of straw tubes with Ar/CO₂/CH₄ (49.5/49.5/1) corresponding to an integrated charge ~ 0.25 C/cm, at this point disappearance of the aluminization on the cathode rendered the straw difficult to operate.

D. Pandoulas *et al.*¹² reported that having collected 1.0 C/cm with Ar/CO₂ (60/40), gas gain $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ and gold plated wire surface, the gas gain degradation

$$\frac{-1}{Q} \frac{dG}{G} \sim 4\%.$$

It is very good performance.

Fig. 8 Diffusion properties of DME, CO₂ and Ar/CO₂

Fig. 9 Gas pressure dependance of spatial
resolution of CO₂ and DME

CF₄

1. Spatial resolution

Since CF₄ is a fast gas, we may suspect that its diffusion coefficient could be worse. In fact it is not the case. B. Schmidt and S. Polenz¹³ has measured the longitudinal and transverse diffusion coefficients for CF₄ and Ar/CF₄ (80/20). The experimental results are shown in Fig. 10, we also show the results of Ar/CH₄ in Fig. 11 for comparison.

Fig. 10

Fig. 11

The longitudinal diffusion of CF_4 is around the thermal limit up to very high- E field. Using these plots we can estimate the position resolution limit of CF_4 .

According to definition of the diffusion coefficient we have

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\frac{2Dx}{W}},$$

in which D is the diffusion coefficient, W is the drift velocity, and x is the drift length.

The parameter ε used in the plots is defined as

$$\varepsilon = eE \frac{D}{W},$$

in which $W = \mu E$, μ is the electron's mobility. It follows

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times \varepsilon \times x}{eE}}.$$

If $E/N = 2 \text{ V}/(\text{cm}\cdot\text{Torr})$, $x = 2.6 \text{ mm}$, from Fig. 10 we have $\varepsilon_L \approx 0.026 \text{ eV}$, it yields

$$\sigma \approx 30 \mu\text{m}.$$

2. Primary ionization cluster density

When very fine straw tubes are to be used, you may concern how fine the tube could be if the efficiency still maintains at $\sim 100\%$? It depends on the primary ionization density. The density goes higher, the tube could be thinner.

J. Fischer *et al.*¹⁴ gave the numbers as following

Gas	Electron per molecule	Average primary cluster/cm	Minimum gas thickness for 6 clusters*
CH_4	10	12	5 mm
C_2H_2	14	17	3.5
Ar+10% CH_4	≈ 17	20,16	3,3.6
C_2H_6	18	21	2.8
CO_2	22	26	2.3
C_3H_8	26	30	2.0
i- C_4H_{10}	34	40	1.5
CF_4 (alone)	42	50	e attachment losses
CF_4 (in gas mixture)	42	41	1.4

* Efficiency for single cluster detection $\eta \approx 1 - e^{-N}$, when $N \geq 6$, $\eta \geq 99.8\%$.

On the other hand the high density of the primary ionization cluster will reduce the fluctuation of the primary cluster's distribution along the track, it will help to improve the spatial resolution too.

3. Drift velocity

One of the fastest gases. See Fig. 12.¹⁵

4. Stability in gas discharge

CF₄ alone is not sufficiently self-quenching as a counter gas. A reasonably dense additive is neopentane (C(CH₃)₄) at small concentration (10 ~ 20%) or isobutane.

CF₄ attached electrons mainly via dissociative attachment processes occurring at electron energies above ≈ 4.5 eV with cross-section maxima at 6 to 7.5 eV.

The energy resolution of Fe⁵⁵ source with CF₄ gas mixtures is as good as P-10:

Gas mixture	Pulse height resolution
100% CF ₄	$\approx 75\%$
80% CF ₄ + 20%isobutane or 80% CF ₄ + 20% C(CH ₃) ₄	$\approx 22\%$
90% Ar + 10% CH ₄	$\approx 20\%$

5. Ageing effect

Excellent! R. Openshaw *et al.*¹⁶ pointed out the CF₄/ isobutane chamber has shown effectively zero pulse height degradation to accumulated charges exceeding 5 C/cm. Their results are shown in Fig. 13. It would seem that in the CF₄/isobutane (80/20) mixture polymerization does not occur under typical MWPC conditions. This is likely due to CF₄ being able to combine with polymer precursors forming stable volatile species.

6. Conclusion

CF₄/isobutane (80/20)

- negligible ageing up to 1.2 C/cm¹⁷ or 5.0 C/cm.¹⁶
- Fast gas (12 cm/ μ sec).
- Small longitudinal diffusion.
- High linear ionization density.

Very attractive candidate for BCD and SSC tracking devices.

Fig. 12 Drift velocity of CF_4 mixture

Fig. 13

Table I

Table II

REFERENCES

1. MARK III Collaboration, The MARK III Vertex Chamber: Studies Using DME, NIM **A265** (1988) 85.
2. F. Villa, Dimethylether: A Low Velocity, Low Diffusion Drift Chamber Gas, NIM **217** (1983) 273.
3. M. Basile *et al.*, Dimethyl Ether Reviewed: New Results on Using This Gas in a High-Precision Drift Chamber, NIM **A239** (1985) 497.
4. G. Bari *et al.*, Dimethyl Ether as a Drift-Chamber Gas, NIM **A251** (1986) 292.
5. M. Jibaly *et al.*, An Ageing Study of Wire Chambers with Dimethyl Ether: Effects of Wire Material and Freon Content, IEEE **NS-36**, No.1 (1989) 552.
6. S. Majewski, Proc. Workshop on Radia. Damage to Wire Chambers, LBL-21170 (1986), p.239.
7. B. Zhou *et al.*, "Using Dimethylether as a Drift gas in a High Precision Drift Tube Detector."
8. M. Jibaly *et al.*, Some Results on the Ageing of Wire Chambers with Dimethyl Ether, NIM **A273** (1988) 161.
9. W.W.Ash *et al.*, Design, Construction, Prototype Tests and Performance of a Vertex Chamber for the MAC Detector, NIM **A261** (1987) 399.
10. G.F.Knoll, Radiation Detection and Measurement (Wilay, New York,1987)
11. A. Peisert and F. Sauli, Drift and Diffusion of Electrons in Gases: A Compilation, CERN 84-08 (1984).
12. D. Pandoulas *et al.*, L3 Experiment, Paper presented at 1987 Europhysics Conference,Uppsala,Sweden, session 3.
13. T. Yamashita *et al.*, "Measurements of the Electron Drift Velocity and Positive Ion Mobility for the Gases Containing CF₄."
14. B. Schmidt and S. Polenz, Electron Motion in Counting Gases - New Answers and new Questions, NIM **A273** (1988) 488.
15. J. Fischer *et al.*, Proportion Chambers for Very High Counting Rates Based on Gas Mixtures of CF₄ With Hydrocarbons, NIM **A238** (1985) 249.
16. R. Openshaw *et al.*, Tests of Wire Chamber Ageing with CF₄/Isobutane(80:20), Argon/Ethane(50:50), and Argon/Ethane/CF₄(48:48:4), IEEE **NS-36** (1988) 567.
17. J. Kadyk, New Results From Wire Chamber Ageing Tests, SSC Tracking Workshop, July 24, 1989.