Particle flux simulations

Sergei Striganov Fermilab June 11, 2008

Detector positions in experiment



Charged particle flux [cm⁻²] – Hg out (last year results)



Charged particle flux [cm⁻²] – Hg in (last year results)



T

Beam description

•Courant-Snyder parameters – vertical direction:

• $\alpha_v = 0.26$ • $\beta_v = 279 \text{ cm}$ • $\sigma_v = 0.117 \text{ cm} (???? = 0.15 \text{ cm})$

•Courant –Snyder parameter - horizontal direction:

• $\alpha_h = 0.53$ • $\beta_h = 279 \text{ cm}$ • $\sigma_h = 0.129 \text{ cm} (???? = 0.15 \text{ cm})$

Momentum distribution:
σ_p= 480 MeV/c



14 GeV/c – Hg out

- MERIT data has been obtained from table provided by Harold
- Flux values and errors depends on "scan valley" definition
- It could be useful to fix "scan valley" definition and create tables with "official data"
- Large disagreement between simulations and data at -21 degree (similar to 24 GeV/c)



14 GeV/c – Hg in

- Jet shapes: circular (r = 5 mm) elliptical (r_v = 12 mm r_h = 2.1 mm)
- Gravity adjusted Hg trajectory: y-y₀=0.032(z-z₀)-0.0218 (z-z₀)² y₀=-0.147 m, z₀=-0.46 m
- "Optical length":
 circular straight 30 cm
 circular + gravity 20 cm
 elliptical straight 73 cm
 circular + gravity 48 cm
 interaction length 14 cm



14 GeV/c – ratio Hg in/ Hg out

- Simulations with gravity adjusted jets are close to measurements for central detectors
- Simulation underestimates data at large angle and large distance from beam (no target)
- Simulation overestimates data at large angle and smallest distance from center of the jet



To do list

- Check dependence on beam spot size
- Check large angle detector positions, orientations, environment
- Consider jet shape changing with distance to nozzle (constant and non-constant density)
- Run 24 GeV/c simulation with gravity adjusted jet