



First Ideas on the Design of the Beam Transport and the Final Focus for the NF Target

J. Pasternak, Imperial College London / RAL STFC

Outline

- Introduction.
- Proton Driver and Target Station.
- Choice of the initial and final conditions.
- Beam Window considerations.
- Preliminary layout.
- Towards optics.
- Summary and future plans.

Status of the Neutrino Factory Baseline after the discovery of the large θ_{13}



Effects of large θ_{13} on the baseline:

- Only one decay ring needed with reduced energy/circumference/cost.
- Modifications in the muon acceleration scheme (only 10 GeV needed).

As you can see the Proton Driver is not connected to the target, why?

Proton Driver Solutions



CERN Solution

Status of the beam transport from PD to target (up to my knowledge)



Concrete shielding assumed to be 5 m thick, => floor also 5 m thick, and 5 m shielding above the beamline

50 ton bridge crane, hook height ~ 10 m, => building height ~ 15 m

Needs more definitions!

J. Pasternak

Target

- •Baseline based on the Mercury jet.
- •Validated by MERIT experiment at CERN.
- •Alternatives include solid target

 Substantial redesign in order to mitigate the energy deposition in SC coils.
 Cooling system based on (WC beads+water).

- Recent new idea: Gallium target!
- To be done:
 - Final focus.
 - Beam window.
 - Beam dump.
 - and much more!





Target System Baseline

•Target type	Free mercury jet
•Jet diameter	8 mm
•Jet velocity	20 m/s
 Jet/Solenoid Axis Angle 	96 mrad
•Proton Beam/Solenoid Axis Angle	96 mrad
 Proton Beam/Jet Angle 	27 mrad
 Capture Solenoid Field Strength 	20 T

From H. Kirk's talk at IDS meeting at VT, October 2011

Jet/Solenoid/Protons geometry



The mercury jet target geometry. The proton beam and mercury jet cross at z=-37.5 cm.

Starting condition



Parameters	Values
Circumference	694.352m
Number of Superperiods	6
Injection/Extraction Energy	3.2/9.6 GeV
Gamma transition	13.37
Harmonic number	17
RF frequency	7.149-7.311 MHz
Bunch Intensity	5.208 x 10 ¹³ protons
Number of Cavities	91
Energy gain per cavity	40.4 keV

I shall use RAL solution as an example, but any other may be used.

Focused Incident Proton Beam at 8 GeV (X. Ding's talk, MAP meeting, March 2012)



Beam Window Design for 5 MW beam of ESS (M. Butzek's talk, May 2011)

Reduce wall thickness by reducing radius to minimize heat deposited in window





proof of manufacturing sample

Rescaling the beam power to 4 MW and assuming the round beam: beam radius is 5.5 cm . Let's take $\beta_x = \beta_y = -600$ m (to be updated).

Lattice Building Blocks



Simple optical solution-triplet similar to the RCS lattice cell to be used in the Beam transport to the channel, with only exceptions of the final focus and other matching sections.



Layout of the arc section, dispersion matching to one regular cell



All magnets are of a room-temperature type, with not very high fields!

Optics from the RCS to the end of ARC (small mismatch in horizontal plane) $\beta[m]$ S[m]J. Pasternak

Dispersion from the RCS to the end of the Arc



Optics in the Final Focus, for β^* of 0.65 m (However, $\beta^* = 0.3$ m may be preferred.)



Final focus consists of 4 room-temperature quads!

J. Pasternak

Summary

- The optics in the beam transport and the final focus is dictated by the beam sizes at the target (1.2 mm rms) and at the beam window (5.5 cm ??).
- Space is needed for the potential emergency beam dump and the collimation.
- •To maintain the bunch compression the control of eta is required, which can be done in the dedicated arc (longer for 8 GeV at the Project-X, shorter for 9.6 GeV at RAL, CERN solution still to be studied).
- Preliminary geometry and optics has been drafted.
- •Engineering of the beam window may influence the optics. More details on the beam window would be highly desirable!