

# The Poynting Vector Should be $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0$ Not $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$

Kirk T. McDonald

*Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

(July 26, 2025)

When Poynting deduced his eponymous vector [1], he argued that the rate at which the electromagnetic field transfers energy to a system of electric charges and currents is given by  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ , where  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}$  is the “free” (conduction) electric current and  $\mathbf{E}$  is the electric field. Both of these fields would now be called macroscopic; Poynting (and Maxwell) did not consider what is now called microscopic electrodynamics. Then, using the Maxwell equation  $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + \partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$  (in SI units) for linear media where  $\mathbf{B}$  is the magnetic field,  $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}/\mu$  with constants  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$ , Poynting deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\text{Vol } \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \int d\text{Vol} \left( \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) - \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= - \int d\text{Vol} \left( \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) + \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= - \oint d\text{Area} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int d\text{Vol} \left( \frac{\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From this, Poynting identified the vector flow  $\mathbf{S}$  of field energy, and the volume density  $u$  of field energy as

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{Poynting}} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}, \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\text{Poynting}} = \frac{\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}}{2}. \quad (2)$$

However, the electromagnetic field also transfers energy to the “bound” electric currents, so it is more correct to consider  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{total}} \cdot \mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}) \cdot \mathbf{E}$  and use the Maxwell equation  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}_{\text{total}} + \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ , which leads to<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\text{Vol } \mathbf{J}_{\text{total}} \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \int d\text{Vol} \left( \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0) - \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= - \int d\text{Vol} \left( \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0) + \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= - \oint d\text{Area} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int d\text{Vol} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 E^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and the identifications

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{EM}} = \mathbf{E} \times \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\epsilon_0 E^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0}. \quad (4)$$

While most textbooks on electromagnetism give Poynting’s argument (1)-(2) without comment as to its misunderstanding about  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{total}}$ , the forms of eq. (4) are advocated in [2], Sec. 54 of [3], Chap. 27 of [4], Sec. 11.9 of [5], Chap. 8 of [6], Sec. 9.6 of [7] and Chap. 5 of [8].

---

<sup>1</sup>It is likely that in 1883, Poynting considered that he should use  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{total}} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ , but it seemed to him that the total current of electric charges was just the conduction current  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}}$ .

It is noteworthy that eq. (3) can be obtained from Poynting's derivation (1) if we are aware that  $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}/\mu_0 - \mathbf{M}$ , where  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are the (macroscopic) volume densities of electric and magnetic dipole moments, respectively, and that  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}} = \partial \mathbf{P}/\partial t + \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$ , where  $\partial \mathbf{P}/\partial t$  is the (electric) polarization current and  $\nabla \times \mathbf{M}$  is the magnetization current.<sup>2</sup> The polarization densities  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are associated with mechanical mass densities, and so could be called “electromechanical” quantities. Then, we can consider  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  to be “electromechanical” fields, while  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are the “pure” electromagnetic fields.

We can now write

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\text{Vol } \mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \int d\text{Vol} \left( \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0) - \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{M}) - \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \partial \mathbf{P}/\partial t \right) \\ &= - \int d\text{Vol} \left( \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0) + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) - \int d\text{Vol } \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which is equivalent to the second line of eq. (3), recalling that  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{free}} + \mathbf{J}_{\text{bound}} = \mathbf{J}_{\text{total}}$ .

Already in 1900, Poincaré [2] argued that the flux of energy in the electromagnetic field, described by the Poynting vector  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{EM}}$ , is associated with a density of momentum  $\mathbf{S}/c^2$  in the electromagnetic field. This argument was seconded by Abraham in 1903 [19]. This relation is more general, as discussed by Planck (1908, p. 829 of [20]), who argued that a flow  $\mathbf{q}$  (with dimensions of energy per unit area per unit time) of any type of energy is associated with a momentum density  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}/c^2$ , where  $c$  is the speed of light in vacuum.<sup>3</sup> The factor  $1/c^2$  suggests that this very small momentum density could be called “relativistic”, as well as “hidden”.

We are thus led to associate a momentum density  $\mathbf{g}$  with the energy-flux vector (2), which we call<sup>4</sup>

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{Abraham}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\text{Poynting}}}{c^2} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}}{c^2} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{M}}{c^2}. \quad (6)$$

<sup>2</sup>Neither Maxwell nor Poynting enunciated a concept of the polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  of electric dipoles, and only regarded the relation between  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  as  $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_r \mathbf{E}$ , where  $\epsilon_r$  is now called the (relative) dielectric constant and/or the (relative) permittivity in Gaussian units, which are used in this footnote. See Art. 111 of [9] for Maxwell's use of the term polarization.

In 1885, Heaviside introduced the concept of an *electret* as the electrical analog of a permanent magnet [10], and proposed that the electrical analog of magnetization (density) be called *electrization*. He did not propose a symbol for this, nor did he write an equation such as  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}$ .

The density of electric dipoles was called the polarization by Lorentz (1892) in sec. 102, p. 465 of [12], and assigned the symbol  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Larmor (1895), p. 738 of [13], introduced the vector  $(f', g', h')$  for what is now written as the polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$ , and related it to the electric field  $\mathbf{E} = (P, Q, R)$  as  $(f', g', h') = (K - 1)(P, Q, R)/4\pi$ , *i.e.*,  $\mathbf{P} = (\epsilon_r - 1)\mathbf{E}/4\pi = (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{E})/4\pi$ . Larmor's notation was mentioned briefly on p. 91 of [14] (1898).

The symbol  $\mathbf{M}$  for dielectric polarization was changed to  $\mathbf{P}$  by Lorentz on p. 263 of [16] (1902), and a relation equivalent to  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}$  was given in eq. (22), p. 265. See also p. 224, and eq. (147), p. 240 of [17] (1903), which latter subsequently appeared as eq. (142), p. 155 of the textbook [18] (1904) by Abraham. The quantity  $\partial \mathbf{P}/\partial t$  is called the “polarization current” on p. 193 of [18], as part of the “displacement current”  $(1/4\pi)\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$  (in Gaussian units).

<sup>3</sup>This relation has been discussed, for example, by Eckart on p. 923 of [22], endorsed by Feynman in Sec. 27.6 of [4], and attributed to Planck by Møller in eq. (13) of [23].

<sup>4</sup>To distinguish the field-momentum-density vector from the electric-dipole-moment density  $\mathbf{P}$  we use the symbol  $\mathbf{g}$  for the former, following [19].

as first introduced by Abraham [19]. Since  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{Poynting}}$  is an “electromechanical” quantity,  $\mathbf{g}_{\text{Abraham}}$  is also.

The “pure” electromagnetic-field-momentum density  $\mathbf{g}_{\text{EM}}$  can be obtained from the first of eq. (4),

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\text{EM}}}{c^2} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (7)$$

recalling that  $1/c^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_0$ .<sup>5</sup>

The electromagnetic-field-momentum density  $\mathbf{g}_{\text{EM}}$  can also be deduced via an argument that starts with the Lorentz-force density  $\mathbf{f}_{\text{total}} = \rho_{\text{total}} \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}_{\text{total}} \times \mathbf{B}$  on the total electric charge and current densities, as discussed, for example, in Sec. 11.9 of [5], Chap. 8 of [6] and Chap. 5 of [8], all of which endorse eqs. (3)-(4) and (7). See also Sec. 2.1 of [24].

We have noted that the field-momentum density is of order  $1/c^2$ , and so is a somewhat “hidden” quantity. Likewise, in many systems with electric currents there is “hidden” mechanical momentum of order  $1/c^2$  in the currents, a small relativistic correction. See, for example, [25, 26]. In particular, in quasistatic systems with magnetization density  $\mathbf{M}$  in an electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  there is “hidden” mechanical momentum  $\int d\text{Vol} \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{E}/c^2$ , and in quasistatic systems with polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  in a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  there is “hidden” mechanical momentum which includes  $\int d\text{Vol} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ . We infer that the Abraham momentum (6) includes the contribution from the “hidden” mechanical momentum of the magnetic dipoles but not of the electric dipoles. Similarly, the field-momentum density according to Minkowski [27],  $\mathbf{g}_{\text{Minkowski}} = \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}$ , includes (some of) the “hidden” mechanical momentum due to electric dipoles but not due to magnetic dipoles. It seems that the “perpetual” Abraham-Minkowski controversy (see, for example, [28]) is not about the “pure” electromagnetic-field momentum of eq. (7), but about the circumstances in which various expressions for “electromechanical” field momenta are relevant.

*This short note was inspired by the lengthy eprint [29], that also reviewed how the macroscopic and microscopic forms of Maxwell’s equations and the Lorentz force are the same in terms of the electromagnetic fields  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  and the total densities of electric charge and current,  $\rho_{\text{total}}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{total}}$ .*

## References

- [1] J.H. Poynting, *On the Transfer of Energy in the Electromagnetic Field*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London **175**, 343 (1884),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poynting\\_ptrsl\\_175\\_343\\_84.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poynting_ptrsl_175_343_84.pdf)
- [2] H. Poincaré, *La Théorie de Lorentz et la Principe de Réaction*, Arch. Neer. **5**, 252 (1900), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poincare\\_an\\_5\\_252\\_00.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poincare_an_5_252_00.pdf)  
Translation: *The Theory of Lorentz and the Principle of Reaction*,  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poincare\\_an\\_5\\_252\\_00\\_english.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poincare_an_5_252_00_english.pdf)  
The equations on p. 6 before eqs. (4) state that the density  $\mathbf{g}$  of momentum in the electromagnetic field is  $\mathbf{S}/c^2$ , where  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0$ .

---

<sup>5</sup>This argument also is given in Chap. 27 of [4].

- [3] M. Mason and W. Weaver, *The Electromagnetic Field* (U. Chicago Press, 1929).  
[kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/mason\\_emf\\_29.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/mason_emf_29.pdf)
- [4] R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, Vol. 2, Sec. 27.6. (Addison-Wesley, 1964), [https://www.feynmanlectures.caltech.edu/II\\_27.html](https://www.feynmanlectures.caltech.edu/II_27.html)
- [5] L. Eyges, *The Classical Electromagnetic Field* (Addison-Wesley, 1972).  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/eyges\\_72.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/eyges_72.pdf)
- [6] D.J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. (Addison-Wesley, 2012),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/griffiths\\_em4.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/griffiths_em4.pdf)
- [7] E.M. Purcell and D.J. Morin, *Electricity and Magnetism*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. (Cambridge U. Press, 2013). [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/purcell\\_em\\_13.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/purcell_em_13.pdf)
- [8] R.M. Wald, *Advanced Classical Electromagnetism* (Princeton U. Press, 2022),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/wald\\_22\\_ch5.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/wald_22_ch5.pdf)
- [9] J.C. Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, Vol. 1 (Clarendon Press, 1873),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/maxwell\\_treatise\\_v1\\_73.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/maxwell_treatise_v1_73.pdf)  
Vol. 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. (Clarendon Press, 1904),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/maxwell\\_treatise\\_v1\\_04.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/maxwell_treatise_v1_04.pdf)
- [10] O. Heaviside, *Electromagnetic Induction and Its Propagation*, part 12, *Electrician* **15**, 230 (1885), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/heaviside\\_eip12\\_electrician\\_15\\_230\\_85.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/heaviside_eip12_electrician_15_230_85.pdf)  
Also on p. 488 of [11].
- [11] O. Heaviside, *Electrical Papers*, Vol. 1 (Macmillan, 1894),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/heaviside\\_electrical\\_papers\\_1.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/heaviside_electrical_papers_1.pdf)
- [12] H.A. Lorentz, *La Théorie Électromagnétique de Maxwell et son Application aux Corps Mouvements*, *Arch. Néerl.* **25**, 363 (1892),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/lorentz\\_ansen\\_25\\_363\\_92.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/lorentz_ansen_25_363_92.pdf)
- [13] J. Larmor, *A Dynamical Theory of the Electric and Luminiferous Medium—Part II. Theory of Electrons*, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A* **186**, 695 (1895),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/larmor\\_ptrsla\\_186\\_695\\_95.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/larmor_ptrsla_186_695_95.pdf)
- [14] J.G. Leatham, *On the theory of the Magneto-Optic phenomena of Iron, Nickel, and Cobalt*, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A* **190**, 89 (1897),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/leatham\\_ptrsla\\_190\\_89\\_97.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/leatham_ptrsla_190_89_97.pdf)
- [15] J. Larmor, *Æther and Matter* (Cambridge U. Press, 1900),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/larmor\\_aether\\_matter\\_00.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/larmor_aether_matter_00.pdf)
- [16] H.A. Lorentz, *The fundamental equations for electromagnetic phenomena in ponderable bodies, deduced from the theory of electrons*. *Proc. Roy. Acad. Amsterdam* **5**, 254 (1902), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/lorentz\\_pknaw\\_5\\_254\\_02.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/lorentz_pknaw_5_254_02.pdf)

- [17] H.A. Lorentz, *Weiterbildung der Maxwellschen Theorie. Elektronentheorie*, Enzykl. Math. Wiss. **5**, part II, 145 (1904),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/lorentz\\_emw\\_5\\_2\\_145\\_04.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/lorentz_emw_5_2_145_04.pdf)
- [18] M. Abraham, *Theorie der Elektrizität*, Vol. 1 (Teubner, 1904),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/abraham\\_foppl\\_elektrizitat\\_v1\\_04.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/abraham_foppl_elektrizitat_v1_04.pdf)
- [19] M. Abraham, *Prinzipien der Dynamik des Elektrons*, Ann. d. Phys. **10**, 105 (1903),  
[http://physics.princeton.edu/~mcdonald/examples/EM/abraham\\_ap\\_10\\_105\\_03.pdf](http://physics.princeton.edu/~mcdonald/examples/EM/abraham_ap_10_105_03.pdf)  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/abraham\\_ap\\_10\\_105\\_03\\_english.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/abraham_ap_10_105_03_english.pdf)
- [20] M. Planck, *Bemerkungen zum Prinzip der Aktion und Reaktion in der allgemeinen Dynamik*, Phys. Z. **9**, 828 (1908). See p. 829 and pp. 342-343 of [21].  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/planck\\_pz\\_9\\_828\\_08.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/planck_pz_9_828_08.pdf)  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/planck\\_pz\\_9\\_828\\_08\\_english.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/planck_pz_9_828_08_english.pdf)
- [21] M. Giovanelli, *The practice of principles: Planck's vision of a relativistic general dynamics*, Arch. Hist Exact Sci. **78**, 305 (2024).  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/giovanelli\\_ahes\\_78\\_305\\_24.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/giovanelli_ahes_78_305_24.pdf)
- [22] C. Eckart, *The Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes III. Relativistic Theory of the Simple Fluid*, Phys. Rev. **58**, 919 (1940). See p. 923.  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/eckart\\_pr\\_58\\_919\\_40.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/eckart_pr_58_919_40.pdf)
- [23] C. Møller, *Relativistic Thermodynamics. A Strange Incident in the History of Physics*, Mat.-fys. Med. **36**-1, (1967).  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/moller\\_mfm\\_36-1\\_67.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/GR/moller_mfm_36-1_67.pdf)
- [24] K.T. McDonald, *Four Expressions for Electromagnetic Field Momentum* (April 10, 2006). [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/pem\\_forms.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/pem_forms.pdf)
- [25] K.T. McDonald, *On the Definition of "Hidden" Momentum* (July 9, 2012),  
<http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/hiddendef.pdf>
- [26] D.J. Griffiths, *A catalogue of hidden momenta*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **376**, 20180043 (2018). See the Table at the end of Sec. 3.  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/griffiths\\_ptrsla\\_376\\_20180043\\_18.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/griffiths_ptrsla_376_20180043_18.pdf)
- [27] H. Minkowski, *Die Grundgleichungen für die elektromagnetischen Vorgänge in bewegten Körper*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen **1**, 53 (1908),  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/minkowski\\_ngwg\\_53\\_08.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/minkowski_ngwg_53_08.pdf)  
[http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/minkowski\\_ngwg\\_53\\_08\\_english.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/minkowski_ngwg_53_08_english.pdf)
- [28] K.T. McDonald, *Bibliography on the Abraham-Minkowski Debate* (Feb. 17, 2015),  
<http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/ambib.pdf>
- [29] B.S. Westhoff, *Electrodynamics in Matter from First Principles: A Unified and Consistent Formulation* (July 16, 2025). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.10532>