"Hidden" Momentum of a Magnetized Sphere in an Electric Field

Kirk T. McDonald Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544 (May 5, 2025)

1 Problem

What is the electromagnetic field momentum of a nonconducting sphere (at rest) with uniform magnetization density \mathbf{M} when in a uniform electric field \mathbf{E} ? The sphere has unit (relative) permittivity $\epsilon = 1$ such that the uniform electric field penetrates the sphere

2 Solution

We use Gaussian units in this note, which is closely related to Sec. 2.2 of [1].

The magnetic fields of the sphere of radius a, with total magnetic moment \mathbf{m}

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{4\pi a^3}{3} \mathbf{M},\tag{1}$$

 are^1

$$\mathbf{B}(r < a) = \frac{8\pi}{3}\mathbf{M} = \frac{2\mathbf{m}}{a^3}, \qquad \mathbf{H}(r < a) = \mathbf{B} - 4\pi\mathbf{M} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}\mathbf{M}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{B}(r > a) = \mathbf{H}(r > a) = \frac{3(\mathbf{m} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^3}.$$
(3)

The density \mathbf{p} of electromagnetic field momentum is

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi c},\tag{4}$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum (which is the medium outside the magnetized sphere). The total electromagnetic-field momentum \mathbf{P}_{EM} can be computed as,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm EM} = \int \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi c} \, d\text{Vol.}$$
(5)

To obtain a well defined result, the electric field must drop to zero at large distances, so we suppose (following Romer [3]) the electric field is due to a spherical shell of radius b > a with charge density proportional to $\cos \theta$ (with respect to the **d**-axis), such that the charge distribution has electric dipole moment **d** and the electric field has the form,

$$\mathbf{E}(r < b) = -\frac{\mathbf{d}}{b^3}, \qquad \mathbf{E}(r > b) = \frac{3(\mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{d}}{r^3}.$$
 (6)

¹See, for example, Sec. 5.10 of [2],

Then,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm EM} = \int_{rb} \frac{[3(\mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{d}] \times [3(\mathbf{m} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{m}]}{4\pi r^6 c} \, d\text{Vol} \\ = -\frac{2\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{m}}{3b^3 c} - \frac{\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{m}}{b^3 c} \ln \frac{b}{a} + \frac{\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{m}}{b^3 c} \ln \frac{b}{a} - \frac{2\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{m}}{3b^3 c} + \frac{\mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{m}}{3b^3 c} \\ = \frac{\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{d}}{b^3 c} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\rm on \ \mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{m}}{c} \,.$$
(7)

However, we expect that the total momentum of a system at rest is zero, so we infer that there exists a "hidden" mechanical momentum in the system equal and opposite to that of eq. (7),²

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{mech,hidden}} = -\frac{\mathbf{E}_{\text{on }\mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{m}}{c} \,. \tag{8}$$

If the magnetization \mathbf{M} is associated with electron spin, a quantum effect, a "classical" mechanical model of this "hidden" mechanical momentum cannot be given.³

2.1 The Abraham Momentum

In 1903 Max Abraham noted [6] that the Poynting vector [7], which describes the flow of energy in the electromagnetic field,

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H},\tag{9}$$

when divided by c^2 has the additional significance of being the density of momentum stored in the electromagnetic field,

$$\mathbf{p}_{\rm EM}^{\rm (A)} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}}{4\pi c} \qquad \text{(Abraham)}. \tag{10}$$

The corresponding total Abraham momentum is,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm EM}^{\rm (A)} = \int \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}}{4\pi c} \, d\text{Vol.} \qquad \text{(Abraham)}. \tag{11}$$

Recalling that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{M}$, we have that

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm EM}^{\rm (A)} = \int \frac{\mathbf{E} \times (\mathbf{B} - 4\pi\mathbf{M})}{4\pi c} \, d\text{Vol} = \int \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi c} \, d\text{Vol} - \int \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{M}}{c} \, d\text{Vol},\tag{12}$$

²In the author's view, the electromagnetic field momentum (7) in this examples should also be called a "hidden" momentum, as discussed in [4],

³A case where a "classical" model for "hidden" mechanical momentum can be given is discussed in [5].

If the electric field is uniform over the magnetization density \mathbf{M} , as in the present example, we can write

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm EM}^{\rm (A)} = \mathbf{P}_{\rm EM} - \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\rm on \ \mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{m}}{c} = \mathbf{P}_{\rm EM} + \mathbf{P}_{\rm mech, hidden},\tag{13}$$

where $\mathbf{m} = \int \mathbf{M} \, d\text{Vol}$ is the total magnetic moment of the system, recalling eq. (8).

This illustrates that the Abraham momentum is not strictly a field momentum, but includes a "mechanical" component.

The insight of eq. (13) for examples like the present was noted by Mansuripur in [8], although he subsequently badly misconstrued an effect of "hidden" momentum [9]-[14].

References

- K.T. McDonald, Abraham, Minkowski and "Hidden Mechanical Momentum (June 6, 2012), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/abraham.pdf
- [2] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 2nd ed. (Wiley, 1975), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson_ce2_75.pdf
- [3] R.H. Romer, Question #26: Electromagnetic field momentum, Am. J. Phys. 63, 777 (1995), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/romer_ajp_63_777_95.pdf
- [4] K.T. McDonald, On the Definition of "Hidden" Momentum (July 9, 2012), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/hiddendef.pdf
- [5] K.T. McDonald, "Hidden" Momentum in a Current Loop (June 30, 2012), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/penfield.pdf
- [6] M. Abraham, Prinzipien der Dynamik des Elektrons, Ann. d. Phys. 10, 105 (1903), http://physics.princeton.edu/~mcdonald/examples/EM/abraham_ap_10_105_03.pdf http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/abraham_ap_10_105_03_english.pdf
- J.H. Poynting, On the Transfer of Energy in the Electromagnetic Field, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 175, 343 (1884), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/poynting_ptrsl_175_343_84.pdf
- [8] M. Mansuripur, Radiation pressure and the linear momentum of the electromagnetic field in magnetic media, Opt. Expr. 15, 13502 (2007), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/mansuripur_oe_15_13502_07.pdf
- M. Mansuripur, Trouble with the Lorentz Law of Force: Incompatibility with Special Relativity and Momentum Conservation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 193901 (2012), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/mansuripur_prl_108_193901_12.pdf
- [10] K.T. McDonald, Mansuripur's Paradox (May 2, 2012), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/mansuripur.pdf

- [11] D.A.T. Vanzella, Comment on "Trouble with the Lorentz Law of Force: Incompatibility with Special Relativity and Momentum Conservation", Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 089401 (2013), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/vanzella_prl_110_089401_13.pdf http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1502
- [12] S.M. Barnett, Comment on "Trouble with the Lorentz Law of Force: Incompatibility with Special Relativity and Momentum Conservation", Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 089402 (2013), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/barnett_prl_110_089402_13.pdf
- [13] P. Saldanha, Comment on "Trouble with the Lorentz Law of Force: Incompatibility with Special Relativity and Momentum Conservation", Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 089403 (2013), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/saldanha_prl_110_089403_13.pdf http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.6858
- [14] D.J. Cross, Resolution of the Mansuripur Paradox (May 30, 2012). http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.5451